BOUNDARY BEHAVIOR OF INVARIANT GREEN'S POTENTIALS ON THE UNIT BALL IN Cⁿ

K. T. HAHN AND DAVID SINGMAN

ABSTRACT. Let $p(z) = \int_B G(z, w) d\mu(w)$ be an invariant Green's potential on the unit ball B in \mathbb{C}^n $(n \ge 1)$, where G is the invariant Green's function and μ is a positive measure with $\int_B (1 - |w|^2)^n d\mu(w) < \infty$.

In this paper, a necessary and sufficient condition on a subset E of B such that for every invariant Green's potential p,

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} \inf (1 - |z|^2)^n p(z) = 0, \qquad e = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \partial B, \ z \in E,$$

is given. The condition is that the capacity of the sets $E \cap \{z \in B | |z-e| < \varepsilon\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is bounded away from 0. The result obtained here generalizes Luecking's result, see [L], on the unit disc in C.

1. Introduction. Let E be a subset of B, the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 1$. An invariant Green's potential is a function on B of the form

$$p(z) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} G(z, w) \, d\mu(w),$$

where G is the invariant Green's function (see 2.11b) and μ is a positive measure such that $\int_B (1-|w|^2)^n d\mu(w) < \infty$. In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition on E such that, for every invariant Green's potential p,

$$\lim_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} \inf (1 - |z|^2)^n p(z) = 0,$$

where e = (1, 0, ..., 0). It is that the capacity of the sets $E \cap \{z \in B | |z - e| < \varepsilon\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, be bounded away from 0. Here, capacity refers to the capacity with respect to the potential theory based on the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the ball with respect to the Bergman metric. See (4.1). This solves a problem posed in [HS], where the result was proved in the special case of $E = \{(z, z') \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1} | \text{Im } z = 0, (\text{Re } z)^2 + |z'|^2 < 1\}$. Our result generalizes a result of Luccking [L] on the unit disc in \mathbb{C} .

2. Preliminaries. For $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ let $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_\alpha \bar{w}_\alpha$, $|z|^2 = \langle z, z \rangle$. For $0 < r \le 1$, let $B_r = \{z \in B | |z| < r\}$, $S_r = \{z \in B | |z| = r\}$, $B = B_1$, and $S = S_1$. Let σ be the rotation-invariant positive Borel measure on S with $\sigma(S) = 1$. Put $e = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. For each $a, z \in B$ let

$$\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a - P_a(z) - \sqrt{1 - |a|^2} Q_a(z)}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle},$$

Received by the editors July 19, 1986 and, in revised form, June 24, 1987.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 31B25, 31C15; Secondary 31C05, 31C12.

Key words and phrases. Invariant Green's potential, boundary behavior, Bergman metric, Laplace-Beltrami operator, harmonic, superharmonic, capacity, energy, Brelot space.

where $P_a(z) = \langle z, a \rangle a / |a|^2$ and $Q_a(z) = z - P_a(z)$. Then one has

(2.1)
$$1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^2} \qquad [\mathbf{R}, \text{ Theorem 2.2.2}].$$

The Bergman metric on the ball B is given by

(2.2a)
$$ds_B^2(z) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} dz_\alpha d\bar{z}_\beta,$$

where

(2.2b)
$$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{n+1}{(1-|z|^2)^2} \{ (1-|z|^2) \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \bar{z}_{\alpha} z_{\beta} \}$$

[St, p. 23]. The corresponding invariant volume element [K, p. 17] is thus

(2.2c)
$$d\lambda(z) = \frac{n+1}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}} dm(z),$$

where dm denotes the restriction of Lebesgue measure to B. For each $f \in L^1(\lambda)$, $a \in B$, λ satisfies

(2.3)
$$\int_{B} f \circ \varphi_{a} \, d\lambda = \int_{B} f \, d\lambda$$

[**R**, Theorem 2.2.6]. The inverse of $(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ is $(g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$, where

$$g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{n + 1} (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \bar{z}_{\alpha} z_{\beta}).$$

The Laplace-Beltrami operator of the metric is

$$\Delta = 4 \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha} \partial z_{\beta}}$$

$$= \frac{4}{n+1} (1 - |z|^{2}) \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \bar{z}_{\alpha} z_{\beta}) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha} \partial z_{\beta}}$$

[St, p. 27].

A C^2 function defined on an open subset of B that is annihilated by Δ will be called *harmonic*. The set of functions harmonic on open subsets of B forms a Brelot harmonic space [H, Théorème 34.1]. We will make some use of the definitions and results available in such a general setting. For details see [B].

Let $\Omega \subset B$ be open. A function u is said to be *superharmonic* on Ω if (i) $u: \Omega \to (-\infty, \infty]$, (ii) u is lower semicontinuous, (iii) for each $a \in \Omega$, there exists r(a) > 0 such that for all $0 < r \le r(a)$,

(2.4)
$$u(a) \ge \int_S u(\varphi_a(r\zeta)) \, d\sigma(\zeta),$$

and (iv) none of the integrals in (iii) is ∞ [U, Definition 1.15].

It was observed in [UT, Proposition 1.6] that if u is superharmonic on Ω then (2.4) holds for all r > 0 such that $\varphi_a(\overline{B_r}) \subset \Omega$.

We wish to see that the above definition agrees with the definition of superharmonic in a Brelot space $[\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{p}, 427, \text{Definition A}]$. If u is C^2 , then both definitions

of superharmonic are equivalent to $\Delta u \leq 0$ [H, Proposition 34.1; U, p. 505 or UT, Proposition 1.18]. Since it is clear that the limit of an increasing sequence of Brelot superharmonic functions is either identically ∞ or Brelot superharmonic, the result will follow from

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let u be superharmonic on Ω . Let ω be an open, relatively compact subset of Ω . Then there is an increasing sequence of $C^{\infty}(\omega)$ functions, superharmonic on ω , with limit u.

Note. This was proved for $\Omega = B$ in [UT, Theorem 1.25]. We include the proof for the reader's convenience.

PROOF. We shall see that the proof depends only on the values of u on some compact neighborhood of ω . Thus, since constants are harmonic, we may assume for the remainder of the proof that u is nonnegative.

For $f, g \geq 0$, define, for $a \in B$,

$$f * g(a) = \int_{B} f(z)g(\varphi_{a}(z)) d\lambda(z)$$

[U, Definition 2.1].

Let ω_1 be a relatively compact subset of Ω with $\bar{\omega} \subset \omega_1$. There exists $r_1 > 0$ such that

(2.5)
$$\varphi_a(\overline{B_{\tau,1}}) \subset \omega_1 \quad (\text{all } a \in \omega).$$

Since $\varphi_a^{-1} = \varphi_a$, this says z is in ω_1 whenever $|\varphi_a(z)| \leq r_1$. Let $\chi \geq 0$ be radial and C^{∞} with support in B_{r_1} and suppose $\int_B \chi \, d\lambda = 1$. Since

$$(2.6) \hspace{1cm} u*\chi(a) = \int_{B} u(z)\chi(\varphi_{a}(z))\,d\lambda(z) = \int_{B_{r_{1}}} u(\varphi_{a}(z))\chi(z)\,d\lambda(z),$$

the value of $u * \chi$ on ω depends only on the value of u on ω_1 . The first equality in (2.6) shows $u * \chi \in C^{\infty}(\omega)$. Integrating the second in polar coordinates shows $u * \chi \leq u \text{ on } \omega.$

Fix $a \in \omega$. Let ω_2 be a relatively compact open subset of Ω such that $\bar{\omega}_1 \subset \omega_2$. Choose $0 < r_2 < r_1$ such that $\varphi_b(B_{2r_2}) \subset \omega_2$ (all $b \in \omega_1$). Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(u * \chi) \circ \varphi_a$ is uniformly continuous on $\overline{B_{r_2}}$, there exists $0 < \delta < r_2$ such that

$$|(u * \chi)(\varphi_a(r\varsigma)) - (u * \chi)(\varphi_a(s\varsigma))| < \varepsilon$$

for all $0 < r < r_2$, $\zeta \in S$, and $|s - r| < \delta$. Fix any r > 0 with $r < r_2$. Let $h \ge 0$ be C^{∞} , radial, $\int_{B} h d\lambda = 1$ with support in $\{z|r - \delta < |z| < r + \delta\}$. Then, with a similar proof as above,

(2.8)
$$u * h(\varsigma) \le u(\varsigma) \quad (\text{all } \varsigma \text{ in } \omega_1).$$

Thus, by [U, Proposition 2.2],

$$(u * \chi) * h(a) = u * (\chi * h)(a) = u * (h * \chi)(a) = (u * h) * \chi(a)$$

$$= \int_{B_{r_1}} (u * h)(\varphi_a(z))\chi(z) d\lambda(z)$$

$$\leq \int_{B_{r_1}} u(\varphi_a(z))\chi(z) d\lambda(z)$$

$$= u * \chi(a).$$

The inequality in (2.9) follows from (2.5) and (2.8). From (2.7),

$$\begin{split} |(u*\chi)*h(a) - \int_{S} (u*\chi)(\varphi_{a}(r\varsigma)) \, d\sigma(\varsigma)| \\ & \leq \int_{|s|=r-\delta}^{r+\delta} \int_{S} |(u*\chi)(\varphi_{a}(s\varsigma)) - (u*\chi)(\varphi_{a}(r\varsigma))| \frac{s^{2n-1}}{(1-s^{2})^{n+1}} h(s) \, d\sigma(\varsigma) \, ds \\ & < \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Thus

(2.10)
$$\int_{S} (u * \chi)(\varphi_{a}(r\varsigma)) d\sigma(\varsigma) < \varepsilon + (u * \chi) * h(a) \le \varepsilon + (u * \chi)(a)$$

by (2.9). Since ε is arbitrary, (2.10) implies $u * \chi$ is superharmonic on ω .

Let $\{r_j\}$ be a sequence of real numbers decreasing to 0. Choose, for each j, $\chi_j \geq 0$, radial, C^{∞} , $\int_B \chi_j = 1$ with support in $\{z|r_{j+1} < |z| < r_j\}$. Then we have seen $\{u * \chi_j\}$ is a sequence of functions superharmonic on ω . The fact that they increase to u on ω was shown in [U, Lemma 2.13]. This completes the proof.

Let S^+ denote the space of nonnegative superharmonic functions on B. An element of S^+ which majorizes no positive harmonic functions is called a *potential* $[\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{p}, 427]$. These are precisely of the form

(2.11a)
$$G\mu(z) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} G(z, w) \, d\mu(w),$$

where

(2.11b)
$$G(z,w) = \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_{|\varphi_z(w)|}^1 \frac{(1-t^2)^{n-1}}{t^{2n-1}} dt$$

is the Green's function on B and μ is a positive measure for which $G\mu(z) \not\equiv \infty$ [U, Theorem 2.16]. It should be pointed out that although the definition of Green's function of B is given in [U], the actual computation of the Green's function of B was carried out in [HM] as a special case of more general classical Cartan domains.

Let 0 < c < 1 be fixed. It is shown in [HS] that there are constants c_1 and $c_2 = c_2(c)$ such that

(2.12a)
$$G(z, w) \ge c_1 (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^n$$
 (all z, w in B),

(2.12b)
$$G(z, w) \le c_2 (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^n \quad (\text{if } |\varphi_z(w)| \ge c).$$

It follows from this and (2.1) that $G\mu$ is a potential if and only if

(2.13)
$$\int_{B} (1 - |w|^{2})^{n} d\mu(w) < \infty.$$

For $E \subset B$ and any $v \in S^+$, the reduced function and its regularization are defined by

$$R_v^E(z) = \inf\{w(z) | w \in S^+, \ w \ge v \text{ on } E\}$$

and

$$\hat{R}_v^E(z) = \lim_{\varsigma \to z} \inf R_v^E(\varsigma),$$

respectively [H, p. 433 or B, Definition 9]. Then \hat{R}_v^E is harmonic on $B \setminus \bar{E}$ and superharmonic on B. Moreover, we have the following relations:

$$\hat{R}_v^E \le R_v^E \le v \quad \text{on } B,$$

$$(2.14b) R_v^E = v on E,$$

and

(2.14c)
$$\hat{R}_v^E = R_v^E$$
 on $B \setminus \bar{E}$ and the interior of E .

Thus, $\hat{R}_v^E = R_v^E$ in case E is open. If E is relatively compact in B, then \hat{R}_v^E is a potential.

A set $E \subset B$ is *polar* if there is a potential which is ∞ on E [H, p. 434 or B, Definition 21]. The polar sets defined here coincide with the corresponding notion in the classical potential theory [H, Théorème 36.1].

The most important result concerning polar sets is the Cartan-Brelot convergence theorem which states that if $\{v_k\}$ is a decreasing sequence in S^+ , then

$$\left(\lim_{k\to\infty}v_k(z)\right)^{\wedge}=\lim_{\varsigma\to z}\inf\left(\lim_{k\to\infty}v_k(\varsigma)\right)$$

is superharmonic and equals $\lim_{k\to\infty} v_k(z)$ except at most on a polar set [H, p. 436, Theorem 27]. The Topological Lemma of Choquet [D, Lemma A, VIII.3] implies

(2.15)
$$\hat{R}_{v}^{E}(z) = R_{v}^{E}(z),$$

except perhaps on a polar set. The proof of the convergence theorem makes use of the *Domination Principle* [H, p. 436, Axiome D, and Corollaire to Théorème 36.2] which states that if $v \in S^+$, $G\mu$ is a finite potential satisfying $v \geq G\mu$ on the support of μ , then $v \geq G\mu$ holds on B. As a consequence, a set $E \subset B$ is polar if and only if $\hat{R}_1^E \equiv 0$ or equivalently $R_1^E(z) = 0$ for one $z \in B$.

For any $E \subset B$,

(2.16a)
$$R_1^E(z) = \inf\{R_1^U(z)|U \text{ open, } U \supset E\}$$

[H, p. 434]. Hence, by the Topological Lemma of Choquet, there is a decreasing sequence of open sets $\{U_k\}$, $U_k \supset E$, such that, for all $z \in B$,

(2.16b)
$$\hat{R}_1^E(z) = \left(\lim_{k \to \infty} R_1^{U_k}(z)\right)^{\wedge}.$$

For a Borel set $E \subset B$,

(2.17a)
$$\hat{R}_1^E(z) = \sup\{\hat{R}_1^K(z)|K \text{ compact}, K \subset E\}$$

[H, p. 434, Théorème 8]. Hence, by [D, Theorem A, VIII.2], there is an increasing sequence of compact sets $\{K_i\}$ contained in E such that, for all $z \in B$,

(2.17b)
$$\hat{R}_{1}^{E}(z) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \hat{R}_{1}^{K_{j}}(z).$$

3. Energy. Let μ and ν be two positive measures on B such that $G\mu$ and $G\nu$ are the corresponding potentials. Define the *mutual energy* of μ and ν by

(3.1)
$$[\mu, \nu] = \int_{\mathcal{B}} G\mu(z) \, d\nu(z).$$

The energy of μ (or $G\mu$) is $||\mu|| = [\mu, \mu]^{1/2}$. Fubini's theorem and the symmetry of G (deduced from (2.1)) implies

$$[\mu, \nu] = [\nu, \mu].$$

LEMMA 3.1. Let $\{G\mu_j\}$, $\{G\nu_j\}$ be increasing sequences of potentials with limits $G\mu$ and $G\nu$, respectively. Then $\{[\mu_j, \nu_j]\}$ is an increasing sequence with limit $[\mu, \nu]$.

PROOF. Since

$$\int_{B} G\mu_{j} d\nu_{j} \leq \int_{B} G\mu_{j+1} d\nu_{j} = \int_{B} G\nu_{j} d\mu_{j+1}$$

$$\leq \int_{B} G\nu_{j+1} d\mu_{j+1} = \int G\mu_{j+1} d\nu_{j+1},$$

the sequence $\{[\mu_j, \nu_j]\}$ is indeed increasing. For each k > 0,

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} [\mu_j, \nu_j] \ge \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_B G\mu_k \, d\nu_j = \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_B G\nu_j \, d\mu_k$$
$$= \int_B G\nu \, d\mu_k = \int_B G\mu_k \, d\nu.$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ gives $\lim_{j \to \infty} [\mu_j, \nu_j] \ge [\mu, \nu]$. The opposite inequality is obvious. An improvement of Lemma 3.1 will be given later in Corollary 3.4.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let $G\mu$ and $G\nu$ be two potentials. Then

$$[\mu, \nu] \le ||\mu|| \, ||\nu||.$$

PROOF. Let $u=G\mu$ and $v=G\nu$. Suppose first that u and v are C^{∞} and μ and ν are supported by B_{r_0} , $0 < r_0 < 1$. Let $\{\psi_j\}$ and $\{\varphi_j\}$ be sequences in $C_c^{\infty}(B)$, $\{\psi_j\}$ increasing to 1 on B and $\varphi_j \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of the support of ψ_j . Then

$$\begin{split} -\int_{B} \psi_{j} u \, d\nu &= \int_{B} v \Delta(\psi_{j} u) \, d\lambda = \int_{B} \varphi_{j} v \Delta(\psi_{j} u) \, d\lambda \\ &= \int_{B} \psi_{j} u \Delta(\varphi_{j} v) \, d\lambda = \int_{B} \psi_{j} u \Delta v \, d\lambda. \end{split}$$

Here the first equality follows from Theorem 2.5 of [U] and the third from Proposition 2.4 of [U]. Letting $j \to \infty$, we obtain

$$[\mu, \nu] = -\int_{B} u \Delta v \, d\lambda.$$

Let $r_0 < r < 1$. By Green's identity [S, 92.5],

$$\int_{S_r} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} d\tau - \int_{B_r} u \Delta v \, d\lambda = \int_{B_r} (\operatorname{grad} u, \operatorname{grad} v) \, d\lambda,$$

where $d\tau$ is the volume element determined by the metric (2.2) on $\partial B_r = S_r$, and

$$(\operatorname{grad} u, \operatorname{grad} v) = 4\operatorname{Re} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} g^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z_{\beta}}.$$

We claim

(3.5)
$$\lim_{r \to 1} \int_{\partial B_r} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} d\tau = 0.$$

For |z|=r,

(3.6)
$$u(z) = \int_{B_{r_0}} G(z, w) d\mu(w) \le c_2 \int_{B_{r_0}} (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^n d\mu(w)$$

$$= c_2 \int_{B_{r_0}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^n (1 - |w|^2)^n}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n}} d\mu(w) = O(1 - r^2)^n.$$

The inequality in (3.6) follows from (2.12b), while the last equality is a consequence of u being a potential.

The derivative of v in the outward normal direction along S_r is given by

(3.7)
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z_{\beta}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha}} \right) / \left(\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{\beta}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \\ = \frac{(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} v)}{||\operatorname{grad} f||},$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} z_{\alpha} \bar{z}_{\alpha} - r^2$.

After some calculations, we obtain

(3.8a)
$$||\operatorname{grad} f||^2 = \frac{4}{r+1}r^2(1-r^2)^2,$$

(3.8b)
$$(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} v) = \frac{4}{n+1} (1-|z|^2)^2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z_\alpha \frac{\partial v}{\partial z_\alpha}.$$

So, from (3.7) and (3.8),

(3.9)
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n+1}} \frac{1-r^2}{r} \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} z_{\alpha} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z_{\alpha}} \right).$$

But a straightforward computation shows

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} z_{\alpha} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\right) = O(1 - r^{2})^{n-1}.$$

Thus,

For $z \in S_r$, $d\lambda(z)$ is reduced to

(3.11)
$$d\tau(z) = \frac{n+1}{(1-r^2)^{n+1}} r^{2n-1} d\sigma(z).$$

So, from (3.6), (3.11) and (3.12),

$$\int_{S_n} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} d\tau = O(1 - r^2)^{n-1} \to 0 \quad \text{as } r \to 1 \text{ if } n \ge 2.$$

This proves the claim in (3.5).

Now

$$\begin{split} |(\operatorname{grad} u, \operatorname{grad} v)| &= \left| 4\operatorname{Re} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} g^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z_{\beta}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha}} \right| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta} 4g^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_{\beta}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta} 4g^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial v_{\beta}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= ||\operatorname{grad} u|| \ ||\operatorname{grad} v||. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{S_r} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \, d\tau - \int_{B_r} u \Delta v \, d\lambda \leq \int_{B_r} || \operatorname{grad} u || \, || \operatorname{grad} v || \, d\lambda \\ &\leq \left(\int_{B_r} || \operatorname{grad} u ||^2 \, d\lambda \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_r} || \operatorname{grad} v ||^2 \, d\lambda \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{S_r} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \, d\tau - \int_{B_r} u \Delta u \, d\lambda \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{S_r} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \, d\tau - \int_{B_r} v \Delta v \, d\lambda \right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

Letting $r \to 1$ and using (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$[\mu, \nu] \le ||\mu|| \, ||\nu||.$$

Thus the proposition is true in this case.

Suppose that $G\mu$ and $G\nu$ are potentials whose measures are compactly supported, say in $B_{1-\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$. Thus $G\mu$ and $G\nu$ are harmonic on $B-\bar{B}_{1-\varepsilon}$. Let $\{r_j\}$ be a sequence of numbers strictly decreasing to 0. Let $\{\psi_j\}$ be C^{∞} functions with support in $B_{r_j}-\bar{B}_{r_{j+1}}$, radial, $\psi_j\geq 0$ and $\int_B\psi_j\,d\lambda=1$. Let $\delta>0$ be so small that for $|z|>1-\delta$, $\phi_z(B_{r_j})\subset B-\bar{B}_{1-\varepsilon}$. Then precisely as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, $\{G\mu*\psi_j\}$ and $\{G\nu*\psi_j\}$ are harmonic on $B-\bar{B}_{1-\delta}$, they are $C^{\infty}(B)$ and they increase respectively to $G\mu$ and $G\nu$ [U, Lemma 2.1]. Thus they are C^{∞} potentials with compact support. From (3.12) we have

$$[G\mu * \psi_j, G\nu * \psi_j] \le ||G\mu * \psi_j|| \, ||G\nu * \psi_j||.$$

Letting $j \to \infty$ and applying Lemma 3.1 gives

$$[\mu, \nu] \le ||\mu|| \, ||\nu||.$$

Finally, the proof of the proposition will be completed for arbitrary potentials $G\mu$ and $G\nu$ by considering the restrictions of μ and ν to $B_{1-1/n}$ and applying (3.13) and Lemma 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let μ and ν be two positive measures on B. Then $||\mu + \nu|| \le ||\mu|| + ||\nu||$.

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mu + \nu||^2 &= ||\mu||^2 + ||\nu||^2 + 2[\mu, \nu] \\ &\leq ||\mu||^2 + ||\nu||^2 + 2||\mu|| \, ||\nu|| = (||\mu|| + ||\nu||)^2. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.4. Let $\{G\mu_k\}$ be an increasing sequence of potentials such that $\sup_k ||\mu_k|| < \infty$. Then there is a potential $G\mu$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} G\mu_k = G\mu$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} ||\mu_k|| = ||\mu||$.

PROOF. Let 0 < r < 1. B_r is regular for the Dirichlet problem on B_r . That is, for every continuous function f on S_r , there is a unique harmonic function $P_r f$ on B_r which tends to f on S_r and which is ≥ 0 if $f \geq 0$ [R, Lemma 5.5.4]. For $\xi \in B_r$, let ρ_{ξ}^r be the measure such that

$$\int_{S_r} f \, d\rho_{\xi}^r = P_r f(\xi)$$

[H, p. 426]. Then, by [U, Lemma 1.19],

(3.14)
$$||\rho_{\xi}^{r}||^{2} = \int_{S_{r}} G\rho_{\xi}^{r} \, d\rho_{\xi}^{r} \le G\rho_{\xi}^{r}(\xi)$$

$$= \int_{S_{r}} G(\xi, w) \, d\rho_{\xi}^{r}(w) = P_{r}G(\xi, \cdot)(\xi).$$

Since $P_rG(\xi,\cdot)$ decreases as r increases [U, Corollary 1.20], $\lim_{r\to 1} P_rG(\xi,\cdot)$ defines a harmonic function on B [U, Proposition 1.10] which minorizes $G(\xi,\cdot)$. It follows the limit as $r\to 1$ in (3.14) is 0. Thus $\lim_{r\to 1}||\rho_\xi^r||=0$.

Let $u = \lim_{k \to \infty} G\mu_k$. Then

$$\int_{S_r} u \, d\rho_{\xi}^r = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{S_r} G\mu_k \, d\rho_{\xi}^r = \lim_{k \to \infty} [\mu_k, \rho_{\xi}^r] \leq \sup_{k > 0} ||\mu_k|| \, ||\rho_{\xi}^r||,$$

by Proposition 3.2. Thus

(3.15)
$$\lim_{r \to 1} \int_{S} u \, d\rho_{\xi}^{r} = 0 \quad \text{for each } \xi \in B.$$

It is easy to see that the limit in (3.15) defines the greatest harmonic minorant of u on B. It follows that u is a potential. The result now follows from Lemma 3.1.

LEMMA 3.5. Let E be polar and let $G\mu$ be a potential of finite energy. Then $\mu(E)=0$.

PROOF. We may assume E is relatively compact. For each k > 0 let $A_k = \{z \in B | G\mu(z) \le k\}$. Let μ_k be the restriction of μ to A_k . Since A_k is closed, $G\mu_k$ is harmonic on $B \setminus A_k$. For $z \in A_k$, $G\mu_k(z) \le G\mu(z) \le k$. Thus $G\mu_k$ is a finite potential and we may apply the Domination Principle to deduce $G\mu_k \le k$ on B.

Let p be a potential that is ∞ on E. Let U be a relatively compact neighborhood of E. Then R_p^U is the potential of a measure ν with support in \bar{U} . Since

$$\int_{E} G\nu \, d\mu_{k} \le \int G\nu \, d\mu_{k} = \int G\mu_{k} \, d\nu \le k \cdot \nu(\bar{U}) < \infty$$

and $G\nu = \infty$ on E, $\mu_k(E)$ must be 0. Letting $k \to \infty$ gives

$$\mu[E \cap \{z \in B | G\mu(z) < \infty\}] = 0.$$

If $\mu[E \cap \{z \in B | G\mu = \infty\}]$ were positive, then

$$\infty = \int_E G\mu \, d\mu \le \int G\mu \, d\mu = ||\mu||^2,$$

contradicting our assumption. Thus $\mu(E) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let $\{G\mu_k\}$ be a decreasing sequence of potentials such that $||\mu_1|| < \infty$. If $G\mu = (\lim_{k \to \infty} G\mu_k)^{\wedge}$, then $||\mu|| = \lim_{k \to \infty} ||\mu_k||$.

PROOF. The Cartan-Brelot convergence theorem implies $G\mu = \lim_{k\to\infty} G\mu_k$ except at most on a polar set. Using Lemma 3.5, the proof follows the same pattern as Lemma 3.1.

PROPOSITION 3.7. Let $E \subset B$ be polar. Then there is a potential of finite energy that is ∞ on E.

PROOF. Suppose first E is bounded. Since $\hat{R}_1^E \equiv 0$, there is a decreasing sequence of relatively compact open sets $\{U_k\}$, each containing E such that $(\lim_{k\to\infty}R_1^{U_k})^{\wedge}\equiv 0$ (2.16b). Let μ_k be the measure on \bar{U}_k whose potential is $R_1^{U_k}$. (Recall $R_1^{U_k}=\hat{R}_1^{U_k}$ since U_k is open.) Then, by (2.14a),

$$||\mu_k||^2 = \int R_1^{U_k} d\mu_k \le \int d\mu_k < \infty.$$

Thus $\lim_{k\to\infty} ||\mu_k|| = 0$ (Proposition 3.6). Choose a subsequence $\{\mu_{k_j}\}$ such that $||\mu_{k_j}|| < 1/2^j$. Then Corollary 3.4 implies $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} R_1^{U_{k_j}}$ is a potential of finite energy. Clearly it is ∞ on E (2.14b).

In general let $\{E_k\}$ be an increasing sequence of bounded sets with union E. Choose a potential $G\mu_k$ of finite energy that is ∞ on E_k . Put $\nu_k = \mu_k/2^k ||\mu_k||$. Then $\sum G\nu_k$ is the required potential.

4. Capacity. Let $E \subset B$. Define

(4.1)
$$c(E) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \hat{R}_1^E \text{ is not a potential,} \\ ||\mu||^2 & \text{if } \hat{R}_1^E = G\mu. \end{cases}$$

We call c(E) the capacity of E.

PROPOSITION 4.1. (a) Let $E \subset B$. There is a decreasing sequence of open sets $\{U_m\}$, each containing E such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} c(U_m) = c(E)$.

(b) If E is Borel, there is an increasing sequence of compact sets $\{K_m\}$, each contained in E such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} c(K_m) = c(E)$.

PROOF. (a) If $c(E) = \infty$, there is nothing to show. Suppose then $c(E) < \infty$. The result will follow from (2.16b) and Proposition 3.6 if we show there is an open set U containing E having finite capacity.

Let $U_1 = \{z | \hat{R}_1^E(z) > \frac{1}{2}\}$. Since $R_1^E \equiv 1$ on E, (2.15) implies $E \setminus U_1$ is polar. By Proposition 3.7, there is a potential $G\nu$ of finite energy that is ∞ on $E \setminus U_1$. Put $U_2 = \{z | G\nu(z) > 1\}$. Then $U = U_1 \cup U_2$ is an open set containing E. Since

$$2\hat{R}_1^E(z) + G\nu(z) \ge 1 \quad (\text{all } z \in U),$$

we have

$$2\hat{R}_1^E(z) + G\nu(z) \ge R_1^U(z) \quad (\text{all } z \in B)$$

by definition of the reduced function. Since $c(E) < \infty$, $2\hat{R}_1^E$ has finite energy. Hence Corollary 3.3 implies so has R_1^U .

(b) The proof follows from (2.17b) and Lemma 3.1.

LEMMA 4.2. For each $\xi \in B$ and 0 < r < 1, the map

$$f(w) = \int_{S} G(\varphi_{\xi}(rz), w) \, d\sigma(z)$$

is continuous on B.

PROOF. It is clearly continuous at points of $B \setminus \varphi_{\xi}(S_{\tau})$. If now $w_0 \in \varphi_{\xi}(S_{\tau})$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the function

$$f_{arepsilon}(w) = \int_{S} G(arphi_{ar{\xi}}(r+arepsilon)z,w) \, d\sigma(z)$$

is continuous at w_0 . Since f_{ε} increases as ε decreases [U, Proposition 1.17, Corollary 1.20] f is lower semicontinuous at w_0 . Repeating the argument with $-\varepsilon$ completes the proof.

LEMMA 4.3. Let v be superharmonic on B. Then, for every $\xi \in B$,

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \int_{S} v(\varphi_{\xi}(rz)) \, d\sigma(z) = v(\xi).$$

PROOF. The lower semicontinuity of v implies

$$\liminf_{r\to 0} \int_{S} v(\varphi_{\xi}(rz)) \, d\sigma(z) \ge v(\xi).$$

Super mean value property (2.4) implies

$$\limsup_{r\to 0} \int_S v(\varphi_\xi(rz))\,d\sigma(z) \le v(\xi).$$

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let E be a Borel, relatively compact subset of B. If \hat{R}_1^E is the potential $G\mu$, then $||\mu||^2 = \mu(B)$.

PROOF. Since $||\mu||^2 = \int_B G\mu \, d\mu \le \int_B 1 \cdot d\mu = \mu(B)$, we may assume $||\mu|| < \infty$. If E is closed, the result holds by Lemma 3.5 since, in general, $\mu(B \setminus \bar{E}) = 0$.

For a general E, choose $\{K_j\}$ as in (2.17b). Put $\hat{R}_1^{K_j} = G\mu_j$. Then, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \mu_j(B) = \lim_{j \to \infty} ||\mu_j||^2 = ||\mu||^2.$$

By passing to a subsequence we may assume $\{\mu_j\}$ converges weakly to a measure ν . We show $G\nu = \hat{R}_1^E$.

$$\int_{S} \hat{R}_{1}^{E}(\varphi_{\xi}(rz)) d\sigma(z) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{S} G\mu_{j}(\varphi_{\xi}(rz)) d\sigma(z)$$

$$= \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{S} \int_{\bar{E}} G(\varphi_{\xi}(rz), w) d\mu_{j}(w) d\sigma(z)$$

$$= \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\bar{E}} \int_{S} G(\varphi_{\xi}(rz), w) d\sigma(z) d\mu_{j}(w).$$

Lemma 4.2 shows that the inner integral in (4.2) is a continuous function of w. Thus the limit is

$$\int_{\bar{E}} \int_{S} G(\varphi_{\xi}(rz), w) \, d\sigma(z) \, d\nu(w) = \int_{S} G\nu(\varphi_{\xi}(rz)) \, d\sigma(z).$$

Letting $r\to 0$ in the first and last term in this string of equalities and applying Lemma 4.3 gives $\hat{R}_1^E(\xi)=G\nu(\xi)$.

Let $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(B)$ be identically 1 on a neighborhood of \bar{E} . Then, using Theorem 2.5 of [U],

$$\mu(B) = \int_{B} \psi \, d\mu = -\int_{B} G\mu \Delta \psi \, d\lambda = -\int_{B} G\nu \Delta \psi \, d\lambda$$
$$= \int_{B} \psi \, d\nu = \nu(B).$$

Thus

$$||\mu||^2 = \lim_{j \to \infty} ||\mu_j||^2 = \lim_{j \to \infty} \mu_j(B) = \nu(B) = \mu(B).$$

This concludes the proof.

5. Main results.

LEMMA 5.1. Let μ be a finite measure on B and let

$$d\nu(w) = \frac{d\mu(w)}{(1-|w|^2)^n}.$$

For each $z \in B$, define $S(z) = \varphi_z(B_{1/2})$. Then

(5.1)
$$\lim_{z \to e} (1 - |z|^2)^n \int_{B \setminus S(z)} G(z, w) \, d\nu(w)$$
$$= \lim_{z \to e} \int_{B \setminus S(z)} G(z, w) \, d\mu(w) = 0$$

PROOF. If $w \in B \setminus S(z)$, $|\varphi_z(w)| \ge 1/2$. Thus, by (2.12b),

$$(1-|z|^{2})^{n}G(z,w) \leq c_{2}(1-|z|^{2})^{n}(1-|\varphi_{z}(w)|^{2})^{n}$$

$$= \frac{c_{2}(1-|z|^{2})^{2n}(1-|w|^{2})^{n}}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{2n}}$$

$$\leq \frac{c_{2}(1-|z|^{2})^{2n}(1-|w|^{2})^{n}}{(1-|z||w|)^{2n}}.$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{z \to e} (1 - |z|^2)^n \int_{B \setminus S(z)} G(z, w) \, d\nu(w)$$

$$\leq c_2 \limsup_{z \to e} (1 - |z|^2)^{2n} \int_B \frac{d\mu(w)}{(1 - |z| |w|)^{2n}}$$

by the bounded convergence theorem.

The last limit in (5.1) is 0, again by the bounded convergence theorem, since by (2.12b),

$$\chi_{B\setminus S(z)}(w)G(z,w) \le c_2\chi_{B\setminus S(z)}(w)(1-|\varphi_z(w)|^2)^n \le (\frac{3}{4})^n c_2.$$

THEOREM 5.2. Let E be a Borel subset of B with e = (1, 0, ..., 0) as a limit point. The following are equivalent:

(a) For every potential $G\nu$,

$$\liminf_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} (1 - |z|^2)^n G\nu(z) = 0.$$

(b)
$$\inf_{\varepsilon>0} c(E \cap \{z \in B | |z-e| < \varepsilon\}) > 0$$
.

REMARK 5.3. For each positive integer m, let $U_m = \{z \in B | |z - e| < 1/m\}$. Suppose for some m_0 , $\hat{R}_1^{E \cap U_{m_0}}$ is a potential $G\mu$. For each $m > m_0$ let μ_m be the restriction of μ to $E \cap U_m$. Since $G\mu_m$ is harmonic on $B \setminus \bar{U}_m$, $\lim_{m \to \infty} G\mu_m$ is harmonic on B and minorizes $G\mu$ [U, Proposition 1.10]. Thus $\lim_{m \to \infty} G\mu_m$ is identically 0 on B. Proposition 3.6 therefore implies that either $c(E \cap U_m) = \infty$ for all m or $\lim_{m \to \infty} c(E \cap U_m) = 0$. Thus (b) above is equivalent to $c(E \cap U_m) = \infty$ for all m.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Suppose first that (b) fails. We will show there is a potential $G\nu$ such that

(5.2)
$$\lim_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} (1 - |z|^2)^n G\nu(z) = \infty.$$

Remark 5.3 implies our assumption is equivalent to $c(E \cap U_m) < \infty$ for some m. Proposition 4.1(a) implies there is an open set containing $E \cap U_m$ and having finite capacity. Thus, for the purpose of finding ν to satisfy (5.2), we may assume E is open.

Choose $\{m_j\}$ increasing to ∞ such that $c(E \cap U_{m_j}) < 1/j^2 4^j$. Put

$$V_j = E \cap \{z \in B | 1/m_{j+2} < |z - e| < 1/m_j\}.$$

Consider the potential $G\mu_j = jR_1^{V_{m_j}}$. Then $||\mu_j||^2 = j^2c(V_{m_j}) \leq 1/4^j$. Thus Corollary 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 show $\sum G\mu_j$ is a potential $G\mu$ of finite energy. Since $\mu = \sum \mu_j$, Proposition 4.4 implies $\mu(B) = \sum \mu_j(B) = \sum ||\mu_j||^2 < \infty$, so μ is finite. Clearly

$$\lim_{\substack{z \to c \\ z \to E}} G\mu(z) = \infty.$$

Put $d\nu(w)=d\mu(w)/(1-|w|^2)^n$. Let $S(z)=\varphi_z(B_{1/2})$. Lemma 5.1 and (5.3) imply

(5.4)
$$\lim_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} \int_{S(z)} G(z, w) \, d\mu(w) = \infty.$$

If $w \in S(z)$, $|\varphi_z(w)| \le 1/2$, hence

$$\frac{3}{4} \le 1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |w|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^2} \le \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |w|^2)}{(1 - |z| |w|)^2}$$

$$\le \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |w|^2)}{(1 - |w|)^2} \le \frac{4(1 - |z|^2)}{1 - |w|^2}.$$

Thus

$$(5.5) 1 - |z|^2 \ge \frac{3}{16} (1 - |w|^2) (|\varphi_z(w)| \le \frac{1}{2}).$$

Since $|\varphi_z(w)| = |\varphi_w(z)|$,

(5.6)
$$1 - |w|^2 \ge \frac{3}{16} (1 - |z|^2) \qquad (|\varphi_z(w)| \le \frac{1}{2}).$$

Thus

$$\liminf_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} (1 - |z|^2)^n G \nu(z)$$

$$\geq \liminf_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} (1 - |z|^2)^n \int_{S(z)} G(z, w) \, d\nu(w)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^n \liminf_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} \int_{S(z)} G(z, w) \, d\mu(w)$$

$$= \infty$$

by Lemma 5.1 and (5.3). This completes the proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b).

Suppose now (b) holds. Consider a potential $G\nu$. Put $d\mu(w)=(1-|w|^2)^n\,d\nu(w)$. Let

$$h(z) = \int_{S(z)} G(z, w) d\mu(w),$$

where $S(z) = \varphi_z(B_{1/2})$. According to Remark 5.3, $c(E \cap U_j) = \infty$ for all j. Thus, by Proposition 4.1(b), there are compact sets E_j contained in $U_j \cap E$ such that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} c(E_j) = \infty.$$

Let $G\mu_j=\hat{R}_1^{E_j}$ and let $F_j=igcup_{z\in E_j} arphi_z(B_{1/2}).$ Then, since $|arphi_z(w)|=|arphi_w(z)|,$

(5.8)
$$\int h(z) d\mu_{j}(z) = \int_{E_{j}} h(z) d\mu_{j}(z)$$

$$= \int_{E_{j}} \int_{B} \chi_{S(z)}(w) G(z, w) d\mu(w) d\mu_{j}(z)$$

$$= \int_{E_{j}} \int_{B} \chi_{S(w)}(z) G(z, w) d\mu(w) d\mu_{j}(z)$$

$$= \int_{F_{j}} \int_{E_{j}} G(z, w) d\mu_{j}(z) d\mu(w)$$

$$= \int_{F} \hat{R}_{1}^{E_{j}}(w) d\mu(w) \leq \mu(F_{j})$$

and the latter goes to 0 as $j \to \infty$ since $\chi_{F_j}(z) \to 0$ as $|z| \to 1$ (2.1). This shows

$$\liminf_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} h(z) = 0,$$

for if h were bounded below by $\varepsilon > 0$ on $\bigcup_j E_j$,

$$\int h \, d\mu_j = \int_{E_j} h \, d\mu_j \ge \varepsilon \mu_j(E_j)$$
$$= \varepsilon c(E_j) \quad \text{(Proposition 4.4)}$$

which tends to ∞ as $j \to \infty$ (5.7), contradicting the last inequality in (5.8). Thus

$$\begin{split} & \liminf_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} (1 - |z|^2)^n G \nu(z) \\ &= \liminf_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} (1 - |z|^2)^n \int_{S(z)} G(z, w) \, d\nu(w) \quad \text{(Lemma 5.1)} \\ &\leq (\frac{16}{3})^n \liminf_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} \int_{S(z)} G(z, w) \, d\mu(w) \quad \text{(5.6)} \\ &= (\frac{16}{3})^n \liminf_{\substack{z \to e \\ z \in E}} h(z) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

6. Remarks. Let $0 < \delta \le 1$. Put

$$E_{\delta} = \{(z, z') \in \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{n-1} | \text{Im } z = 0, (\text{Re } z)^2 + |z'|^2 < \delta \}.$$

In [HS] it is shown that the limit result of Theorem 5.2 holds with $E = E_1$. We show in this section directly that E_1 satisfies condition (b) of Theorem 5.2.

LEMMA 6.1. Let φ be an automorphism of B and E a Borel subset of B. Then $c(\varphi(E)) = c(E).$

PROOF. Suppose first that E is relatively compact. Since $v \circ \varphi \in S^+$ whenever $v \in S^+$ [U, Proposition 1.17], it follows from the definition that $\hat{R}_1^{\varphi(E)}(z) =$ $\hat{R}_1^E(\varphi(z))$. Thus, if $\hat{R}_1^E=G\mu$,

$$\begin{split} \hat{R}_1^{\varphi(E)}(z) &= \hat{R}_1^E(\varphi(z)) = \int_B G(\varphi(z), w) \, d\mu(w) \\ &= \int_B G(z, \varphi(w)) \, d\mu(w) \end{split}$$

since $|\varphi_{\varphi(z)}(\varphi(w))| = |\varphi_z(w)| = G\nu(z)$, where $\nu = \mu \circ \varphi$. Proposition 4.4 implies $c(\varphi(E)) = \nu(\varphi(E)) = \mu(E) = c(E).$

In general, let $E_r = E \cap B_r$. Then $c(\varphi(E)) = \lim_{r \to 1} c(\varphi(E_r)) = \lim_{r \to 1} c(E_r) = \lim_{r \to 1} c(E_r)$ c(E). The first and third equalities follow from Lemma 3.1 and the fact that if $\{A_m\}$ is an increasing sequence of sets with union A then $\lim_{m\to\infty} \hat{R}_1^{A_m} = \hat{R}_1^A$.

LEMMA 6.2. Let \hat{R}_1^E be a potential. Then E is polar if and only if c(E) = 0.

PROOF. If E is polar, $\hat{R}_1^E \equiv 0$, hence c(E) = 0. Suppose c(E) = 0. For every j there is an open set V_j containing E such that $c(V_j) < 1/2^j$. Then $\sum R_1^{V_j}$ is superharmonic (Corollary 3.3 and Corollary 3.4) and is ∞ on E. This proves the Lemma.

We now show that E_1 satisfies (b) of Theorem 5.2. For each $\delta \in (0,1)$, E_{δ} is a (2n-1)-dimensional disc, hence is not polar. (Indeed if it were polar, the fact that a finite union of polar sets is polar would allow us to construct an open region F whose boundary was polar. But a superharmonic function which was ∞ on ∂F would be ∞ on F, contradicting (iv) of the definition of superharmonic.) Thus $c(E_{\delta}) > 0.$

Let $\{t_k\}$ be a sequence increasing to 1. Put $z_k = (t_k, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\varphi_{z_k}(E_\delta) \subset E_1$, $c(\varphi_{z_k}(E_\delta)) = c(E_\delta) > 0$ and since $\varphi_{z_k}(E_\delta)$ moves out to e as $k \to \infty$, we see E_1 satisfies (b) of Theorem 5.2.

REFERENCES

- [B] M. Brelot, Lectures on potential theory, Tata Institute No. 19, Bombay, 1960, reissued 1967.
- [D] J. L. Doob, Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 262, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [HM] K. T. Hahn and J. Mitchell, Green's function on the classical Cartan domains, MRC Tech. Summary Report No. 800, Univ. of Wisconsin, Dec. 1967, 24 pp.
- [HS] K. T. Hahn and M. Stoll, Boundary limits of Greens potentials on the ball in Cⁿ, Complex Variables 9 (1988), 359-371.
- [H] R. M. Hervé, Recherches axiomatiques sur la théorie des fonctions subharmoniques et du potential, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 12 (1962), 415-571.
- [K] S. Kobayashi, Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 2, Dekker, New York, 1970.
- [L] D. H. Luecking, Boundary behavior of Green potentials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (1986), 481-488.
- [R] W. Rudin, Function theory in the unit ball of Cⁿ, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 241, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [S] I. S. Sokolnikoff, Tensor analysis, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [St] E. M. Stein, Boundary behavior of holomorphic functions of several complex variables, Math. Notes, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1972.
- [U] D. Ullrich, Radial limits of M-subharmonic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 292 (1985), 501-518.
- [UT] _____, Moebius-invariant potential theory in the unit ball of Cⁿ, Thesis, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, 1981; University Microfilms International, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA 16802

Department of Mathematics, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia 22030